



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/648,733	08/28/2000	Hiroaki Kawamichi	NIT-228	5717	
24956	7590 10/22/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C.			ALI, SYED J		
1800 DIAGO	NAL ROAD				
SUITE 370		<u>_</u>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDR	IA, VA 22314		2127		

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(
Advisory Action	09/648,733	KAWAMICHI ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Syed J Ali	2127	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 23 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application application application applications application appl	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application i	a in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dahave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI to on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPE I36(a) and the appropriate extension fee. The appropriate extension fee.	P on fee ee under
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	d statutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final reje	the final Office action; or (2) as section, even if timely filed, may red	et forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplify	ying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amer	ndment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT plac	ce the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were new	vly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			1
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	: ·		
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to: None.	•		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-27</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	MEN/SUPERVISORY	PATENT EXAMINER BY CENTER 2100	
	I EQL II 40 EQ.		

Application No.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claim 22 has been rewritten in independent form. However, claim 22 as previously presented depended on claim 21, which was dependent on claim 16. The rewritten version of claim 22 has omitted features of claim 21, and thus the scope of claim 22 as presented in the proposed amendment is changed. The amendment raises new issues and fails to simplify the issues for appeal in that claim 22 is now broader than previously presented.